

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/623,685	Applicant(s) HAUBENNESTEL ET AL.	
	Examiner Daniel S. Metzmaier	Art Unit 1796	

All Participants: **Status of Application:** Amended.

(1) Daniel S. Metzmaier. (3) ____.

(2) Albin J. Nelson. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 23 June 2008 **Time:** ~ 10:30 AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
- ☐ Video Conference
- ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____ .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None.

Claims discussed:
17.

Prior art documents discussed:
None.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

examiner noted claim 17 was substantial duplicate of claim 15 and appeared claim 17 was intended to be dependent on process claim 10. Applicants authorized change by examiner's amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Daniel S. Metzmaier/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)